# Brooklyn Public Library



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

December 18, 1996

Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission Room 222 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Reference: CC Docket No. 96-45

Enclosed please find our comments as requested by Public Notice dated November 18, 1996 on the Federal-State Joint Board adoption of the Recommended Decision on November 7, 1996 regarding Universal Service.

Sincerely,

Martim Gomez / Executive Director

> No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

Grand Army Plaza

Recoklyn New York 11238

Reference: CC Docket No. 96-45

December 17, 1996

RECEIVED

FCC MAIL ROOM

#### Introduction

Brooklyn Public Library (BPL) hereby submits its comments as requested by Public Notice dated November 18, 1996 on the Federal-State Joint Board adoption of the Recommended Decision on November 7, 1996 regarding Universal Service.

Brooklyn Public Library was founded in 1897 and is the fifth largest public library system in the country. It is the mission of Brooklyn Public Library to insure the preservation and transmission of society's knowledge, history and culture, and to provide the people of Brooklyn with free and open access to information for education, recreation and reference. Brooklyn Public Library is one of three independent library systems (together with Queens Borough Public Library and New York Public Library) serving New York City.

#### Overview

Brooklyn Public Library agrees in principal with the Recommended Decision adopted by the Federal-State Joint Board, specifically the sections of the Recommended Decision which relate to Schools and Libraries. We urge that the Joint Board implement the recommendations in time for the 1997-1998 school year.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Discount.

2.6

27

28

29

We also believe, and will illustrate, that the proposed High Cost Discount ranges of five (5%) to ten (10%) percent do not accurately reflect the true cost differential.

Decision apply to all telecommunication services, letting technology advances and market needs drive the technology utilization of libraries.

We agree that any discounts provided for under the Recommended

Although Brooklyn Public Library agrees that the overall range of discounts if applied to reasonably comparable prices should adequately provide for a reflection of the true issues facing libraries today, namely high-cost and low-income service areas, we do have concerns over the methodology set forth to calculate these discounts.

interstate and intrastate discount methodologies, determined and

Brooklyn Public Library is very concerned with methodology used

to calculate High Cost Discount. Specifically, we believe that

in calculating High Cost Discount there needs to be two separate

and distinct components in arriving at the overall High Cost

applied by different governing bodies.

The first component of our proposed High Cost Discount calculation methodology directly relates to the varying conditions of telecommunication infrastructures throughout the country and the resultant cost differentials.

Although we address this issue as part of our proposed methodology for calculating the High Cost Discount, it may also be addressed by more clearly defining the Lowest Corresponding Price, which the Joint Board recommended be set at "the lowest price charged to similarly situated non-residential customers for similar services." Our concern lies with the definition of similarly situated non-residential customers as these customers may find themselves burdened with the same element of additional cost, and Brooklyn Public Library believes this component of cost may not be identified clearly enough and thus may not be adequately addressed in the Recommended Decision.

As it currently exists, the availability of advanced telecommunications services varies widely from region to region. These differences exist not only between Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOC), but within Local Access and Transport Areas (LATAs) served by the same RBOC.

These differences exist for many reasons, not the least of which is "assumed" insufficient return on RBOC investment costs of upgrading the necessary infrastructure and equipment to provide these advanced services. Since current Universal Service obligations are limited to Plain Old Telephone Service (POTS),

the infrastructure commitments are not necessary to meet current regulatory requirements.

However, without clearly defining the advanced services which are required to be provided under the provisions set forth for Universal Service in the Recommended Decision, a recommendation with which Brooklyn Public Library agrees, there must be a way to ensure that these advanced services are both available and affordable.

For example, within the Borough of Brooklyn, only two (2) of the fifteen (15) Central Offices (CO) of NYNEX have switching equipment capable of providing Frame Relay service. Frame Relay is a commonly available telecommunications technology which provides high speed connections at a cost lower than that of dedicated lines. As such, for Brooklyn Public Library to access Frame Relay Services in 88% (eighty-eight) of its branches, it must pay incremental costs associated with connecting these branches to Central Offices with Frame Relay capable switches.

Below is a comparison of costs to provide Frame Relay to selected Brooklyn Public Library branches.

Branch	Distance to Frame	T-1 Frame Relay Cost
Location	Relay Capable CO	(PSC900 Tariff)
Brooklyn Heights	0 miles	\$ 875 / mo
Saratoga	2 miles	\$ 1,050 / mo
Cypress Hills	5 miles	\$ 1,140 / mo
Gerritsen	8 miles	\$ 1,230 / mo

The total incremental, or penalty, cost for Brooklyn Public Library to access Frame Relay services in its 58 (fifty-eight) branches due to these services not being available borough-wide is \$159,240 per year, almost 41% (forty-one) greater than the baseline cost and significantly more than the 5% to 10% High Cost Discount range currently proposed. When comparing against the total proposed discount range of 20% to 90%, even at the highest discount level, it takes almost one-half of that discount just to bring our costs to market level.

Brooklyn Public Library recommends that in calculating the high-cost discount, these "premium" amounts paid by libraries and schools due to services which are not locally available should be immediately discounted to the level which would be charged if the services were locally available. We refer to these rates as the Local Baseline Rates and the discount as the Baseline Rate Adjustment Discount.

The calculation of the Baseline Rate Adjustment Discount utilizes readily available information (tariffed rates) which should not be difficult or expensive to implement.

The second component of our proposed High Cost Discount calculation methodology is a market-comparison adjustment. Brooklyn Public Library believes that Adjusted Local Baseline Rates should be compared against a national average of Adjusted Local Baseline Rates to calculate an additional discount which must be considered to arrive at an appropriate High Cost

Discount. Due to market conditions, Libraries in some cities pay far more for telecommunication services that in other cities.

For example, when we contacted Ameritech, the RBOC for Chicago, IL, we were informed that the cost for an intrastate T-1 Frame Relay circuit (similar service) for a similar customer profile would be \$575, or approximately 35% less than the \$875 charged by NYNEX. When comparing this same \$575 to our highest cost for the same service, \$1,230, we find Brooklyn Public Library costs are 214% higher.

Interstate and intrastate services should be included in the Federal methodology. If the definition of High Cost Discount for intrastate services is left solely to the states, adequate Federal funding to states will not be provided to those states whose intrastate costs are higher than average.

Consequently, we recommend that the calculation methodology for the High Cost Discount be set at the Federal level for both interstate and intrastate services to ensure maximum equality and equitable distribution, and that sufficient data be maintained at a Federal level to facilitate the comparison of intrastate service rates and the allocation of funds to states to properly finance High Cost Discounts for intrastate services.

### Lowest Corresponding Price

As an alternative to the above methodology, we suggest that the Joint Board refine the definition of "lowest corresponding price"

to accommodate these concerns:

- "premium" rates due to services not readily available locally; and
- varying rate structures between RBOC's.

If the Joint Board chooses to redefine Lowest Corresponding Price, we strongly argue that this redefinition accommodate the issue of the significant variance in pricing for intrastate services between RBOC's. In addition, some level of information must cataloged and maintained at the Federal level to ensure the appropriate distribution of funding for High Cost Discounts for intrastate services.

We respectfully remind the Joint Board that many urban areas as well as rural areas aging telecommunications infrastructures and resultant high costs for advanced services. We have illustrated this above and Brooklyn Public Library believes that these issues are not necessarily a result of lack of competition.

## Economically Disadvantaged Discount

Brooklyn Public Library concurs with the American Library
Association (ALA), in their comments set forth to the Joint Board regarding the calculation of the discount for economically disadvantaged libraries. School lunch eligibility is not an appropriate measure for use in calculating such discounts for libraries.

We agree, however, as further stated by ALA, that the Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA) does provide an appropriate alternative methodology for determining discounts for economically disadvantaged library systems, specifically a poverty factor which refers to "families with incomes below the poverty line (as defined by the Office of Management and Budget and revised annually in accordance with section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)) applicable to a family of the size involved."

Brooklyn Public Library believes that these data, which are being used by the Library for other purposes and is updated annually, is an appropriate measurement which is both fair and minimally burdensome to the Library.

Brooklyn Public Library also agrees with the ALA that each library system be allowed "to report each branch service area separately and allocate the discounts accordingly," to more properly reflect the overall level of poor households within the library system's entire service area.

We believe that the library should be responsible for maintaining adequate records to substantiate and document its procedures for calculating the Economically Disadvantaged Discount.

#### Contributions

Brooklyn Public Library believes that due to the growing lack of clarity between interstate and intrastate services (and the

resulting revenues), that both intrastate and interstate revenues should be subject to the factors set forth in the Recommended Decision and serve as a revenue base for assessing funds.

4 5

The onset of the Internet is a prime example of the evolving telecommunications marketplace. For most libraries, the telecommunications infrastructure necessary to access the Internet involves acquiring intrastate tariffed services, namely a local circuit to an Internet Service Provider (ISP) who in turn provides access to the Internet through its own interstate tariffed circuits. It is clear, however, that the resultant services to Libraries and Schools are not only interstate, but international.

We suggest that RBOC's are increasingly enjoying strong revenue growth due to the growing demand for these types of services and consequently, these revenues should serve as a portion of the revenue base for assessing funds.

## Summary

Brooklyn Public Library thanks the Joint Board for this opportunity to comment on the Recommended Decision. We feel the issues raised in our comments to the Joint Board are significant in scope, yet manageable in resolution and are confident that the Joint Board will adopt a final Recommendation that addresses the concerns of Brooklyn Public Library.

We believe our comments address issues that not only face Brooklyn Public Library, but many other schools and libraries. If implemented, our recommendations would be instrumental in carrying out the spirit and substance of the intention and definition of Universal Service, particularly for libraries serving low-income communities.

Respectfully submitted,



Executive Director

Brooklyn Public Library

For more information, please contact:

17 | Kevin Allard

Director of Technology

Brooklyn Public Library

718-780-7890

21 | allardk@atlantix.com